CETIFICATION

SDG No:

MC46870

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

Site:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release

Matrix:

Groundwater

Assessment, Humacao, PR

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Phase 2A Release Assessment Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken July 12-14, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC46870. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MAPED EPH, Massachusets Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
	DESCRIPTION	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>
MC46870-1	OSGP7-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46870-2	OSGP3-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46870-3	OSGP3-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46870-4	OSGP9-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46870-5	OSGP9-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46870-6	OSGP10-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46870-7	BPEB-10	AQ – Equipment	Extractable TPHC Ranges
		Blank	

LIC # 188

91661

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

July 22, 2016

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP7-GWS
Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	MC46870-1
Matrix:	AQ - Ground V

Initial Volume

870 ml

Nater MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Final Volume

2.0 ml

Date Sampled: 07/12/16 Date Received: 07/15/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Method: Project:

Run #1

Run #2

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Run #1	File ID DE14913.D	DF 1	Analyzed 07/18/16	By TA	Prep Date 07/17/16	Prep Batch OP48184	Analytical Batch GDE829
Run #2							

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	-					
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.7	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.7	0.41	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.7	0.67	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.35	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.7	0.34	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.51	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.7	0.43	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.41	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.7	0.50	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.45	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.38	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.7	0.46	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.7	0.34	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.7	0.52	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.7	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.7	0.35	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.7	0.69	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.5	110	33	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	19	ug/l	-
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	48.5	110	31	ug/l	J
	C11-C22 Aromatics	41.5	110	33	ug/l	ĴВ

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene	58% 64% 67% 71%		40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Run #1

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP3-GWD Lab Sample ID: MC46870-2 Date Sampled: 07/12/16 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Date Received: 07/15/16 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C Percent Solids: n/a

Project: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Final Volume

2.0 ml

Initial Volume

860 ml

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 DE14923.D 1 07/19/16 TA 07/17/16 OP48184 **GDE830** Run #2

Run #2						
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
3-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.8	1.8	ug/l	
08-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.8	0.41	ug/l	
20-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.8	0.67	ug/l	
6-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.8	0.35	ug/l	
0-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	5.8	0.34	ug/l	
05-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.52	ug/l	
91-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.8	0.43	ug/l	
07-08-9	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.41	ug/l	
18-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.8	0.50	ug/l	
3-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.8	0.45	ug/l	
)6-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.39	ug/l	
i-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.8	0.46	ug/l	
93-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.8	0.34	ug/l	
1-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.8	0.53	ug/l	
1-20-3	Naphthalene	1.1	5.8	1.1	ug/l	JB
5-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.8	0.35	ug/l	
29-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.8	0.70	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	36.4	120	33	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	23.8	120	19	ug/l	J
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	120	31	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	34.8	120	33	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	55%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	77%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	54%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	84%		40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	Client Sample ID:	OSGP3-GWS
i	Lab Sample ID:	MC46870-3
	Matrix:	AQ - Ground V

AQ - Ground Water MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C Date Sampled: 07/12/16 Date Received: 07/15/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Method: Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Run #1	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #2	DE14924.D	1	07/19/16	TA	07/17/16	OP48184	GDE830

Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 895 ml	Final Volume 2.0 ml	1				
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene		ND	5.6	1.7	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene		ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene		ND	5.6	0.65	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthra	cene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a) рутеле		ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
005 00 0	D (1)(1)		2.155			٥	

56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Вепго(а) рутепе	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.50	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.6	0.41	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.39	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.6	0.48	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.43	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.37	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.6	0.44	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.53	5.6	0.51	ug/l	JB
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.6	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.6	0.67	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.3	110	32	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	19	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	45.3	110	30	ug/l	J
	C11-C22 Aromatics	37.4	110	32	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	69%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	90%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	51%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	97%		40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP9-GWD
Lab Sample ID:	MC46870-4
Matrix:	AO - Ground W

Vater MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 07/13/16 Date Received: 07/15/16

Method: Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 DE14925.D 1 07/19/16 TA 07/17/16 OP48184 **GDE830**

Run	#2
-----	----

Initial Volume Run #1 890 ml

Final Volume 2.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	2.4	5.6	1.8	ug/i	J
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.6	0.65	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.50	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.6	0.42	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.6	0.49	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.44	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.38	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.6	0.45	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.65	5.6	0.51	ug/l	JB
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.3	5.6	1.1	ug/l	JB
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.6	0.67	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	38.1	110	32	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	19	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	30	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	33.7	110	32	ug/l	JB
					_	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	51%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	72%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	69%		40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

By

TA

Prep Date

07/17/16

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP9-GWS
Lab Sample ID:	MC46870-5
Matrix:	AQ - Ground V

File ID

DE14926.D

AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

Date Sampled: 07/13/16 Date Received: 07/15/16

Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

JB JB

Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Analyzed

07/19/16

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** OP48184 **GDE830**

Run #1 Run #2

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	910 ml	2.0 ml

Run	#	2
-----	---	---

CAS No.	Compound	Resuit	RL	MDL	Units
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.5	1.7	ug/i
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.5	0.39	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.5	0.64	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.5	0.33	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.5	0.32	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.49	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.5	0.41	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.39	ug/l
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.5	0.48	ug/l
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.5	0.43	ug/l
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.37	ug/l
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.5	0.44	ug/l
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.5	0.32	ug/l
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.57	5.5	0.50	ug/l
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.3	5.5	1.1	ug/l
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.5	0.33	ug/l
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.5	0.66	ug/l
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	110	31	ug/l
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	30	ug/l
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	110	31	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	48%		40-14	10%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	61%		40-14	40%
84-15-1	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics Surrogate Recoveries o-Terphenyl	ND ND ND Run# 1	110 110 110	18 30 31 Limi 40-14	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l



ND = Not detected

3386-33-2

580-13-2

MDL = Method Detection Limit

45%

69%

J = Indicates an estimated value

40-140%

40-140%

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

1-Chlorooctadecane

2-Bromonaphthalene

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP10-GWD
Lab Sample ID:	MC46870-6

820 ml

AQ - Ground Water

Initial Volume Final Volume

2.0 ml

Date Sampled: 07/14/16 Date Received: 07/15/16

Matrix: Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

Run #1

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE14927.D	1	07/19/16	TA	07/17/16	OP48184	GDE830
Run #2 a	DE14930.D	1	07/19/16	TA	07/17/16	OP48184	GDE830

Run #2	820 ml 2.0 ml					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	2.3	6.1	1.9	ug/l	J
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	6.1	0.43	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	6.1	0.71	ug/I	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	6.1	0.37	ug/I	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	6.1	0.36	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	6.1	0.54	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	6.1	0.45	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	6.1	0.43	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	6.1	0.53	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	6.1	0.47	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	6.1	0.41	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	6.1	0.48	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	6.1	0.36	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	2.4	6.1	0.55	ug/l	JB
91-20-3	Naphthalene	4.3	6.1	1.2	ug/l	JB
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	6.1	0.37	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	0.91	6.1	0.73	ug/l	J
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	81.5	120	35	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	22.6	120	20	ug/l	Ĵ
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	62.8	120	33	ug/l	J
	C11-C22 Aromatics	71.6	120	35	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene	58% 84% 28% ^b 84%	57% 79% 29% ^b 83%	40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

RL = Reporting Limit

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

⁽a) Confirmation run.

⁽b) Outside control limits due to matrix interference. Confirmed by reanalysis.

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	BPEB-10
Lab Sample ID:	MC46870-7

Matrix: Method:

Project:

AQ - Equipment Blank

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 07/14/16 Date Received: 07/15/16 Percent Solids: n/a

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE14928.D	1	07/19/16	TA	07/17/16	OP48184	GDE830
Run #2							

			··	
	Initial Volume	Final Volume		
Run #1	910 ml	2.0 ml		
Run #2				
JKUN #Z				

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.5	1.7	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.5	0.39	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.5	0.64	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.5	0.33	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	5.5	0.32	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.49	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.5	0.41	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.39	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.5	0.48	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.5	0.43	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.37	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.5	0.44	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.5	0.32	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.5	0.50	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.5	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.5	0.33	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.5	0.66	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	36.5	110	31	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	30	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	35.0	110	31	ug/l	JB
					_	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	69%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	74%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	58%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	82%		40-140%



N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

ACCUTER:				CHAI	N (OF (CUS'	ro	ĐΊ	ľ											PA	GE		OI	=
NE			3	0 D'Anguis De TEL S			Epithorony SSH-481-		A 0175	2			ı		99						ann Can	- 17	101	46	810
	_				term'e	ACCURAGE.									-							fro	2	77	
Client / Reporting Information	H195320	Project research	SEPPLEMENT.	Project	Inform	ation	-	19.64	54/53		SS CO		100	200	Raq	residen	Ana	lysia (580 T	EST C	ODE	heat		2009	Matrix Codes
Company Name													- 1				İ	1					lΙ		FREE . Combana Manage
Anderson Mishelland & Associates Sinst Address			ta 2A Releate	Assessmen	4			_	_			_	_		H		i		l		1 1		H		DW - Dreilang Wester GW - Graves Wester
- · · ·		Street					-	-	3		The same of		-	œ										ļ	WW - Water SW - Durings Water
2700 Westchester Avenue, Suite 417	20	Chr		Shake.	Billing	toformati	m (8 45M	week!	Preside PE	injour!	 		-	Ŧ	H										SO - Soil St Shirtee
Purchase NY		Humação		PR		.,								6.						[1 1				SED-Sadmore
Project Cornect	E-mail	Project of			Street A	40004		_		_				MADEP								<i>i</i>			CH - CH LUQ - Other Lesses
Terry Taylor		021											ŀ	3				İ		li	(I	. !		ı	AIR - Air SCL - Other Book
Phone #	Facility	Cheel Purchase	Cottor #		City			-	School			Ζφ		ž					:		1 1	. !		- 1	WP-Was
914-281-0400														ᇴ	ΙI										FB-Petr Clark EB-Equipment Clark
Sampletist Northola)	Phone #	Project Manager			Alleren	Pξ							\neg	Arometics	ΙI	J				H	1 1			_]	PLS-Pitnes (Store) TS-Trip Sharet
N. Rivera, R. Stuart, R. O'Rellly, T. Tey	lor	Terry Taylor		Carlestine				_				_	_	₹	- 1	Į.				ш	(I			- 1	19-11-parent
1 1			<u> </u>]	T	ł		Н			1,1	Tw	π	C11-C22								.		- i	
Field ID / Point of Collection		MECHIDIVALE		The s	Darrytad	Name of Street	d of banks		5 8	8	티티	ğ 8	1	<u></u>											
		MEGHD VO J	1200		897	-		Н	ᆁ	2	비리	3 8	44	_	-	\rightarrow	-			-			\rightarrow		LAB USE DHLY
-/ OSGP 7-GW5			7/12/16	1050	71	GW	2	2		Ш			$\perp \perp$	_X							į.			_1	
-2 OSGP 3 -GW D	-		7/12/16	1315	Te	GW	1	121	\Box	П	Π	Т	П	X							\Box	-1	\neg	\neg	
_3 OSGP 3 -GWS			7/12/16	1510	Tar	GW	2	2	$\neg \neg$	\vdash	77		17	X									T		
								-	-1-1	+	╍┾╍┼	+	╁╌┼					-	-	\vdash	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\dashv		11/
			7/3/14	1245	19	GW	. 3	2	41	4	44	_	Н	X	\rightarrow	_	_			\dashv	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	4	160
- DSSP9 -CWS			7/13/16	1445	11	GW	2	1	Ш				1_1	X		_1	- 1								<u> </u>
-6 05GP 10 -GWI			7/4/16	1255	14	GW	2	2	П	П	П	Т	П	X		П			\neg			\neg	\neg	\neg	
			37.137.102	1824	,,,	7.00	~	Ħ	+	1	++	+	†=ť	~	\neg	_						\rightarrow		\neg	
								Н	╫	+	┿	+-	╌		\dashv	-	\dashv		\dashv	\dashv	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	-+	\dashv	
								Ц	\perp	1	11		Ш	\rightarrow	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	→	
					l	l 1					Π		ш	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1							- 1	
								\sqcap	\Box	T	П	7	П	\neg	\neg	\neg			\neg			\neg			
						\vdash		H	++	+	++	+	⇈	\dashv	\rightarrow	\dashv	\rightarrow	\neg	\dashv	\rightarrow	\rightarrow				
	Course of the last									20 2		20 100		-		for carrie		-	_	-		none de		-	
-7 BPEB-10			7/11/16	(5)21	42	JEB	2	_		9 8	国	A 16	围	Sil	2000			(2:9.)		100		25.00			Sicol Changain Shi
Turneyound Time (Business Roys) (1) Std., 15 Business Days		SCHOOL STREET		PERSONAL PROPERTY.	Jan Brand				eratrie	_	nation		- 6	300.74	and the same	990	86.00	TAAL			Special SN7 · .	hing	e l	10000	
Std. 10 Suphress Days (by Contract or		التندار يالا لدججورية	nen sad: 1 čenis				al "A" (Li al "B" (Li				_ MA1						nai	IDAL	بهدم		2141	Ψ,	7	-	
18 Day RUSH							m 'm' (Li			H		ta Pass		v =	- 1				Amil 201 A	**		-11			
S Day MUSH						U Parket		•		E	7 000				_ 1		ĿN	BEL I	/ ELF-SIII	FICA:	HON.	-#_			
В з ром выключений в пример приме	-					Commerci	el "C"				j 🖦	er	_												
2 they EMERICENCY	101	-10-1	town li				Campinan ci								-										
1 Day EMERGENCY Emergency & Footh TAN date available VA Latting	ML						Communication (Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co.							-	. Л										
PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	-8-6		Shippy in	at he docum	anted be											olivery			.2	Consultation of the last	WYNE	2.5	0.77	1650	
1007	277	115+700	أريونسيه) E	/		T		shipsed I					-5/	-	-	- Th-	42	V,		3				
1 WINTEN TOWN	<u> </u>	16	1 500	<i>إسا</i> 7	_			2		_			1	7		(*	ہے2	13-	/6	2	12	16	111	21	
Automobile Try House is	Date These	1	Received By: 3					1-0mp	-	Ny:			•			[a	-7-	K	ľ	4	: Blys]
Retrigorated by:	Care Thous	- 1						-	. 2	- A	X		U	est.	Pi							Onles	_ 0	Ti water	+ 14
6			5				29	3	, 2	14	11	1	D	المراط ا			0						3,5		7.9t

MC46870: Chain of Custody
Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

MC46870

Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts

Analysis:

MADEP EPH

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Seven (7) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB, no further

qualification required.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

July 22, 2016

Date:

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC46870-1

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/12/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lah Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.7	ug/l	1		Ü	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.7	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.7	_	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	5.7 5.7	ug/l		-	_	
		ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.7	ug/!	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.5	ug/!	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	48.5	ug/i	1	j	J	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/12/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	5.8	ug/!	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.8	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.8	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.8	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	~	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	36.4	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	23.8	ug/i	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	120	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	34.8	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/12/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.6	ug/f	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.53	ug/l	1	1B	JB	Yes
Naphthalene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	45.3	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	37.4	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/13/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result		Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	2.4	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Atrazine	5.6	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.65	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
Naphthalene	1.3	ug/i	1	JB	JB	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	38.1	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	33.7	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/13/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.5	ug/l	1	••	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.5	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	5.5	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.57	ug/i	1	JB	JB	Yes
Naphthalene	1.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/14/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	2.3	ug/l	1	j	J	Yes
Acenaphthylene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	6.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Atrazine	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes
Fluoranthene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	2.4	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
Naphthalene	4.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
Phenanthrene	6.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	0.91	ug/l	1	J	3	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	81.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	22.6	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	62.8	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	71.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/14/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazîne	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.5	ug/I	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.5	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	36.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	35.0	ug/i	1	JB	JB	Yes

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Type of validation	Full:X Limited:	Project Number:_MC46870
REVIEW OF EXT	RACTABLE PETROL	EUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE
validation actions. This more informed decision were assessed accord precedence METHOD HYDROCARBONS (EF (2004). Also the general Support Section. The Common section is a section of the common sectio	document will assist the nand in better serving ing to the data validation FOR THE DETER PH), Massachusetts Depart validation guidelines	tile organics were created to delineate required e reviewer in using professional judgment to make the needs of the data users. The sample results on guidance documents in the following order of MINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM partment of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 is promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes dation actions listed on the data review worksheets as otherwise noted.
The hardcopied (labo received has been revi- review for SVOCs inclu-	ewed and the quality co	est_Laboratories data package introl and performance data summarized. The data
Lab. Project/SDG No.: No. of Samples: Field blank No.: Equipment blank No.:	_7 MC46870-7_	Sample matrix: _Groundwater
Trip blank No.: Field duplicate No.:	_	
X Data CompletX Holding Time:N/A GC/MS Tunin:N/A Internal StandX BlanksX Surrogate Rec	eness s g ard Performance	X_ Laboratory Control SpikesX_ Field DuplicatesX_ CalibrationsX_ Compound IdentificationsX_ Compound QuantitationX_ Quantitation Limits
Overall _Extractable_Petroleum (C9_to_C36_Aliphatics;	n_Hydrocarbons_by_GC ;_C11_to_C22_(Aromat	Comments: C_by_Method_MADEP_EPH_REV_1.1 ics)
Definition of Qualifiers:		
J- Estimated resulu- Compound not R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nond Reviewer:	detected	
Date:_07/22/2016/		

	Criteria were not me	Il criteria were metx et and/or see below
I. DATA COMPLETNE A. Data Packag		
MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
3. Other		Discrepancies:

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

DATE	DATE	DATE	ACTION
OAWII EED	EXTRACTED	ANALIZED	
extracted and an	alyzed within me	thod recommende	ed holding time
	SAMPLED	SAMPLED EXTRACTED	

Criteria

Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 ± 2 °C immediately after collection.

Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler temperature	(Criteria: 4 <u>+</u> 2 '	°C):4.9°(>
--------------------	---------------------------	-----------	---

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

		Crite	All criteri eria were not met and/	a were metX or see below
CALIBRAT	IONS VERIFIC	ATION		
	at the instrum		nstrument calibration producing and mai	
Dat	e of initial calib	ration:06/22	2/16	
Dat	es of initial cali	bration verification:_	06/22/13	
Inst	rument ID num	bers:GCD	E	
Mat	rix/Level:	_AQUEOUS/MEDIU	M	
DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
	nitial and conti	nuing calibration me	et method specific req	uirements

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest.
 When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
 - The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
 - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

Criteria- CCAL

 At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects. If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	06/22/16
Dates of continuing calibration verification:	_07/18/16;_07/19/16
Dates of final calibration verification:	_07/18/16;_07/19/16
Instrument ID numbers:GCDE	
Matrix/Level:_SOIL/AQUEOUS/MEDIUM	

DATE	LAB FILE	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT	SAMPLES
	ID#		RFs, %RSD, %D, r	AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements				

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

				VII CHITCHIA MELE HIET	
		Cı	riteria were not m	et and/or see belowX	
VA. BLAN	K ANALYSIS RE	SULTS (Sec	ctions 1 & 2)		
magnitude of blanks associ problems with evaluated to case, or if the Method Blank	contamination plated with the sa n any blanks ex determine wheth problem is an	problems. The amples, inclusives, all data are or not the isolated occurater samples	e criteria for evalumentation ding trip, equipments associated with the re is an inherentarrence not affects suspected of leading and associated of leading and associated assoc	etermine the existence uation of blanks apply onlent, and laboratory blank the case must be cared variability in the data for ting other data. A Labora being highly contaminated	y to s. If fully the tory
List the conta separately.	mination in the	blanks belov	v. High and low	evels blanks must be trea	ated
Laboratory bla	anks				
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS	
_CASES_DES _07/11/16	SCRIBED_IN_TI OP48089-MB	HIS_DOCUM Aqueous/low	NENT /C11-C22_Ard C11-C22_Ard 2-Methylnaph	matics_(Unadj.)_33.0_ug/ maticis31.0_ug/ thalene0.47_ug/]] g/L_
Note:	limits. Analytes	s detected in s. Laborator	n sample batch	centration below the reportation below the feet as JB, no furt	the
Field/Trip/Equ	ipment				
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS	
GENO_TAF	GET_ANALYTE	S_DETECT	ED_IN_THE_EQ	WITH_THIS_DATA_PACH UIPMENT_BLANK_EXCE	PT
	MC46870-7			natics(Unadj.)36.5_ug matics35.0_u	 /I_ g/I_
Note:	No action take		equipment bla	nk results. No action tak	— en,

All criteria were met	
Criteria were not met and/or see below	X

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

	All criteria were met	
Criteria were no	t met and/or see below X	

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment. List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery Matrix: solid/aqueous

Samples and QC shown here apply to the above method

Lab	Lab					
Sample ID	File ID)	S1 a	S2 a	S3 b	S4 a
MC46870-1	DE149	913.D	58	64	67	71
MC46870-2	DE149	923.D	55	77	54	84
MC46870-3	DE149	924.D	69	90	51	97
MC46870-4	DE149	925.D	51	72	44	69
MC46870-5	DE149	926.D	48	61	45	69
MC46870-6	DE149	930.D	57	79	29* c	83
MC46870-6	DE149	927.D	58	84	28* c	84
MC46870-7	DE149	928.D	69	74	58	82
OP48184-BS	DE149	910.D	64	73	68	72
OP48184-BSD	DE149	911.D	62	71	64	71
OP48184-MB	DE149	912.D	55	72	52	78
Surrogate		Recov	ery			
Compounds		Limits	•			
S1 = o-Terphenyl S2 = 2-Fluorobiphen S3 = 1-Chlorooctade S4 = 2-Bromonaphth	cane	40-140 40-140 40-140	0% 0%			
•						

⁽a) Recovery from GC signal #1

Note: SURROGATE STANDARDS RECOVERIES WITHIN LABORATORY CONTROL LIMITS EXCEPT IN THE CASES DESCRIBED IN THIS DOCUMENT. NO ACTION TAKEN, PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT.

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

⁽b) Recovery from GC signal #2

⁽c) Outside control limits due to matrix interference. Confirmed by reanalysis.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

Α	II criteria were met
Criteria were not met an	d/or see belowN/A

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

MOVMOD Keco/	venes and Precision Ci	птепа			
Sample ID:				Matrix/Level:_	
List the %Rs, R	PD of the compounds	which do no	t meet t	he QC criteria.	
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
				21 - 50 50 7	
		**			
		<u>.</u> .			

Note: No MS/MSD analyzed with this sample batch. Blank spike/Blank spike duplicate used to assess accuracy. BS/BSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits. No action taken.

					were met
		Crit	eria were r	not met and/or se	e belowN/A_
No action is taker informed profession conjunction with order. In those instaffect only the sal However, it may be a systematic professional conjunction in the systematic professional conjunction in the systematic professional conjunction is taken in the systematic professional conjunction in the systematic professional conjunction is taken in the systematic professional conjunction in the systematic professional conjunction is taken informed professional conjunction with order to the systematic professional conjunction in the systematic professional conjunction with order to the systematic profession in the systemati	onal judgment, to other QC criteria a stances where it mple spiked, the e determined through blem in the ana	he data and deter can be or qualifications.	reviewer ramine the redetermined tion should MS/MSD re	may use the MS need for some qualithat the results to be limited to the esults that the lab	/MSD results in ualification of the of the MS/MSD is sample alone oratory is having
2. MS/MSD -	Unspiked Comp	ounds			
List the concentrate compounds in the	tions of the unspi unspiked sample	ked com	pounds and r	d determine the 9 matrix spike dupli	% RSDs of these cate.
COMPOUND	CONCENTRA SAMPLE	ATION MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION
			7.000	2.3	
Criteria: None spec	cified, use %RSD	≤ 50 as	profession	al judgment.	
Actions:					
If the % RSD > 50, If the % RSD is no MSD, use professi	ot calculable (NC) due to	nondetect	value in the san	

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

11

	Criteria were not met and/or see below						
VIII.	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS						
This omatrices.	lata is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various						
1.	LCS Recoveries Criteria						
	List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria						
LCS ID	COMPOUND % R QC LIMIT ACTION						
LCS_REC	OVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS						
Criteri *	Refer to QAPP for specific criteria. The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.						
Action that a	Actions: Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of the criteria.						
the associate If the %R of the for the affecte If more than the	the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in d samples and accept nondetects. The analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects analyte in the associated samples. The compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, sitive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the imples.						
2. Freque	ency Criteria:						
per matrix)? Yelf no, the data the effect and	Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:						

		Crite	All crit ria were not met and/		re met belowN/A_	
IX. FIELD/LA	BORATOR	Y DUPLICATE PR	ECISION			
Sample IDs: Matrix:						
Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.						
COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION	
RPD used to asse	ss precisio	n. RPD within labo	is data package. BS/ pratory and validation tected at a concentra	guidan	ce document	
					·	
Criteria:						
The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples if results are \geq SQL. If both samples and duplicate are $<$ 5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.						
SQL = soil quantitation limit						
Actions:						
If both the sample calculable (NC). N			are nondetects (N	D), the	RPD is not	
Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.						

judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional

If one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq 5x$ the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - o The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
 - o All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
 - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
 - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
 - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

Comments: Not applicable.

		Criteria were r	All criteria we not met and/or se		
2.	If target analytes and/o laboratory resubmit the o	or TICs were not correct corrected data.	tly identified, re	equest that t	the
3.	evaluated for potential be % recovery of the fraction basis by quantifying nap and aromatic fractions on aphthalene or 2-methy the total concentration or LCSD, fractionation in the sum of	ntion - Each sample (figureakthrough on a sample onation surrogate (2-brome that a sample of the LCS and LCSD. It is the LCS and LCSD. It is the LCS and LCSD. It is the LCS and LCSD. It is the LCS and LCSD. It is the LCS and LCSD. It is the local that a sample to the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration and the comatic fraction.	specific basis by nonaphthalene) aphthalene in both feither the complete fraction nethylnaphthale archived batch of naphthe LCS/LCSD paicentration defined	y evaluating and on a baoth the aliphancentration exceeds 5% and in the Landau extracts.	the itch atio of of CS
	Comments:Concentrat	tion_in_the_aliphatic_frac thalene_and_2-methylna	tion_<_5%_of_t phthalene	he_total	_
4.	Fractionation Check S containing 14 alkanes at each constituent. The Fractionation efficiency of optimum hexane volume not allowing significant contained in the fraction Recovery must be between nonane.	nd 17 PAHs at a nomina actionation Check Solution feach new lot of silica good required to efficiently elusaromatic hydrocarbon bout to nation check solution, expenses.	al concentration on must be used pel/cartridges, ar ute aliphatic hydreakthrough. For coluding n-nonar	of 200 ng/µl to evaluate the establish frocarbons where each analyte, the Percentage of the process of the percentage of	l of the the nile yte
	Is a fractionation check s	tandard analyzed?		Yes? or No?	?

	All criteria were met _	X
Criteria were not	met and/or see below	

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

MC46870-1

EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)

RF = 124800

[] = (2305175)/(124800)

[] = 18.47 ppb Ok

MC46870-1

EPH (C19 - C36, Aliphatics)

RF = 77820

[] = (1641274)/(77820)

[] = 21.09 ppb Ok

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- 2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
- 3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION

If dilution was not performed, affected samples/compounds:	(J) for the	affected	compounds.	List the